## C.V.R.D

# Regional Recreation Committee <br> Wednesday, Осtober 26, 2011 <br> 5:00 pm - CVRD Board Room - 175 Ingram Street 

. AGENDA PAGES

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA: 1-2
2. REPORTS:

| SR1 | Staff Report, Manager, Corporate Planning <br> Re: Regionally Significant Recreation Facilities Usage Study | 3-34 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Re: Regionally Significant Recreation Facilities Usage Study
3. QUESTION PERIOD:
4. ADJOURNMENT:

## REGIONAL RECREATION COMMITTEE:

Director T. McGonigle, Chair
Director G. Giles, Vice-Chair
Director T. Walker
Director B. Harrison
Director R. Hutchins

## 皆 <br> C•V•R.D

## Meeting Conduct

The CVRD is committed to fostering a safe, respectful, orderly environment for the public to observe its local government meetings. Adherence to following code of conduct will help us meet that commitment:

1. Respect will be shown to other members of the public, the Board and staff;
2. Individuals will refrain from disruptive behavior impeding the proceedings or other disorderly conduct;
3. Directives of the Chair will be complied with in a prompt and orderly fashion;
4. Questions and comments during delegations and question period will be made at the appropriate time.

Failure to comply with this code of conduct may result in your expulsion from this facility in accordance with Section 133 of the Community Charter.

# $C \cdot V \cdot R \cdot D$ <br> Staff Report <br> Regional Recreation Committee Meeting <br> of October 26, 2011 

DATE: October 13, 2011.
From: Jacob Ellis, Manager, Corporate Planning
Subject: Regionally Significant Recreation Facilities Usage Study

## Recommendation/Action:

That it be recommended that the results of the Regionally Significant Recreation Facilities Usage Survey be presented to the Regional Services Committee on November 23, 2011.

## Relation to the Corporate Strategic Plan:

The Corporate Strategic Plan specifies, as a priority, the development of a long term recreation funding strategy for the region.

Financial Impact: (Reviewed by Finance Division: _n/a )
n/a

## Background

At its regular meeting of January 12, 2011, the Board approved moving ahead with retaining the services of a consultant to carry out a usage study of certain regionally significant recreation facilities. This reason for undertaking this study was to produce a report with statistically valid user figures could provide useful information that could further the work on developing an improved recreation funding model for the Region.

This study is now complete and a draft report attached for review by the committee.
Submitted by


Jacob Ellis, Manager
Corporate Planning
Attachment

# Cowichan Valley Regional District 

Draft 2011
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## Introduction

In February 2011 PERC was retained by the Cowichan Valley Regional District to undertake an independent analysis of the geographic residency of the users of specific public recreation facilities that are supported by CVRD taxpayers. The information was requested by the CVRD Board as part of an investigation about funding alternatives for recreation facilities. However, the information will also be useful to various facility managers who may use it to support management and marketing decisions.

PERC has completed that assignment and is pleased to present the results in this report. It is hoped that the information will be useful in its own right, and that the methodislogy will also be helpful for future attempts to repeat the analysis on a periodic basis.

## Deliverables

The final report will be delivered as an electronic document suitable for pinting as well as a searchable electronic database for more flexible future use.

The report includes:


- In percentage terms, a statistically reliable breakdown of users of regionally significant recreation facilities by area of residency, with a level of confidence averaging $+/-5 \%$ nineteen times out of twenty;
- The regionally significant facilities include wo aquatic faclities, four ice arenas, Cowichan Sportsplex sports fields, five community ceites, and the Cowichan Theatre;
- The areas of residency will include fobrincorporated municipalities and nine electoral areas all within the Gowichanlalley Regional District, âs well as the percentage of users that come from outsidedhe regionaldistrict;

The user data is analyzed ata postaleode leyel arô postal codes is attributed to a geographic member of the CVRE (orvother" designation). These geographic areas consist of Electoral Areas A - Mill Bay/Malathat, B - Shawnigan Eake, C - Cobble Hill, D - Cowichan Bay, E - Cowichan Station/Sahtlam/Glenora, F-Cowichan.Eake South/Skutz Falls, G - Saltair/Gulf Islands, H - North Oyster/Biamond, I - Yoübou/Meade Ereek, the City of Duncan, Municipality of North Cowichan, Town of 㨁dysmith, and Town of Lake Cowichan. Where uncertainty existed regarding the geographic location of a postat code, the full street address was used.

The data base is p tovided m Microsoft Excel format with one worksheet for each of the categories; namely aquatics, arenas, sportsfields, community centres, and Cowichan Theatre. In each sheet there will be a breakdownsy facility where there is more than one facility in that category (e.g. aquatics, arenas, and community centres).

## Methodology

While the consultants were asked to analyze use at nine recreation facilities, arenas were separated from community centre spaces at the Kerry Park, Island Savings Centre and Cowichan Lake facilities, and the pool use was separated from the community centre spaces at the Frank Jameson Community Centre. Therefore, there are a total of thirteen separate facility analyses in this report.

Typically, a recreation facility has three modes of use; namely

- Drop in uses - where a patron makes a decision on a use-by-use basis to use the facility, and often pays a user fee to use a facility during a public use session;
- Program uses - where a user typically pre-commits, through a registration process, to a series of uses, usually involving some form of instruction, and then attends for most or all of those programmed uses;
- Rental uses - where a group or individual rents a space or a portion of a space and then controls the uses and users of that rented space for the period of the rental.

In the case of the two public swimming pools in the scope of this study all three modes of use apply in significant portions. In the case of the four arena facilities, the vast majority of use is in the rental category, with some program data and incidental drop in use (not measured in this case). For sport fields, the vast majority of use relates to the rental category, with some drop in use. The majority of the community centre use and Cowichan Theatre are scheduled programs with very few rental uses

Based on the three modes of use, three types of data were collected using three separate techniques.

## Pool and Sportsplex User Survey

Since the pools and Sportsplex enjoy a significant amountof drop in use, it was decided that public drop in users would be sampled and each would be asked toprovide their residential address. A variety of days of the week and times of day were chosen at each pool in consultation with the facility staff where there was space available in the pool for drop in use In addition, the Sportsplex dates were scheduled for times where the track was avallable and when the operators felt drop in users would be using the facilities. Researchers ('he. students in the recreation and tourism program at Vancouver Island University and for the Spoitsplex the researchers were supported by volunteers from the Sportsplex) wefe assigned to each of the identified sessions. They set up a large sign that illustrated what they were doing (see Appendix A) and approached all parties as they exited the building, asking three questions:

1. How many menbers of the party used the facility (i.e. changed into a bathing suit or used equipmentin the associated fitness centre in a pool facility, or came to the site for a drop use -f'the Sportsplex),
"How many of those used the facility for drop in use (i.e. a use that was not part of a registered ptogram or group rental),
2. The detailed residential address of the party.

The researchers found that they were able to approach the vast majority of parties leaving the facility. They missed approaching about $10 \%$ of the parties during particularly busy periods, which equated to less than $3 \%$ of parties missed overall. The vast majority of parties that were approached agreed to answer all three questions. About $10 \%$ declined to participate, primarily due to lack of time.

The list of sessions during which users were surveyed is included in Appendix $\boldsymbol{A}$.
There is no reason to indicate that the survey period during March, April and May of 2011, is atypical of users or uses during other months of that year. There is also no reason to assume that the year 2011 is atypical of recent years. Therefore, the consultants believe that this methodology, which solicits residency from a large sample of facility users from each pool and the Sportsplex, is quite valid and reliably represents all drop-in users of each pool and the Sportsplex site.

Analysis of use of each pool's operating format indicates that drop in use represents about $50 \%$ of all use; with program uses representing a further $40 \%$ and rentals representing the final $10 \%$ of all uses. This is consistent with both pools, and is quite consistent with BC's public indoor pools. Analysis of use of the Sportsplex indicates that drop in use represents about $10 \%$ of all use with rentals being $90 \%$ of all uses.

## Program Registration Database

The jurisdictions operating the facilities within this study utilize a sophisticated program registration system called CLASS. This system records and reports on all registrations and registrants including their detailed address. Therefore, this information is available in report form and can be sorted by facility and session.

For the four arenas, two pools, five community centres and the Cowichan Theatre the CLASS data was extracted and analyzed from these databases. All progratis for the calendar year 2010 were used in the analysis.

For the pools that information was used to determine breakdown by residency of the $40 \%$ of all pool uses that relate to program uses. For arenas, it was used to determine the $10 \%$ of all uses associated with this category of use. For the remaining facilities the percentages varied.

## User Group Membership Lists

All significant user groups that rented the Spoitsplex, arenas, pools, community centres or Cowichan Theatre were identified by the respective facility st thff. Each was requested to provide a list of all members along with the residential address for eachimember. This proved to be a somewhat more involved process than it was first thought, as manly groups either did not have, or were in the process of updating their lists. ARepeated attempts weremade to solicit all significantly sized groups to the point where information was obtined from any"groups that were of significant size. These lists were then formatted by the consultantin a manner where addresses could be categorized into areas of residency and checked.

The information was then used to provide $90 \%$ of field use and arena use analysis, $10 \%$ of pool use, and vaiking percentages for the remaining facilities.

Overali, information was received from 68 user groups which collectively represented 12,378 members of groups that used indoor pools, arenas, community centres, theatre and sportfields.

## Non Resident Use

The first thing the consultants did was determine the percentage of uses that came from outside the Regional District for each facility. That information was gleaned from all three data sources and is summarized in the Figure One. The consultants then multiplied the out-of-district percentage use by the proportion of use for each facility by each mode of use to properly weight each mode of use so they can be added to determine the total percentage of out of district uses per facility. This is presented in Figure Two.

Figure One
Percentage of Out-of-district Use per Facility and Mode of Use

| Facility/Mode of Use | Drop-in | Program | Rental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cowichan Aquatic Centre | 5.6\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% |
| Cowichan Lake Sports Arena |  | 39.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Cowichan Lake Other Spaces |  | 9.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Cowichan Sportsplex | 2.8\% |  | 2.2\% |
| Cowichan Theatre |  | 8.0\% | 0.8\% |
| FJCC Community Spaces* |  | 7.10\% | 62.5\% |
| FJCC Pool | 8.2\% | 14.8\% | 》 6.7\% |
| Fuller Lake Arena ** |  | \% $28 \%$ | 4\% |
| ISC Arena |  | \% ${ }^{2}$ | 3.7\% |
| ISC Community Spaces | 1 | , ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | - $4.2 \%$ |
| Kerry Park Other Spaces |  |  | \% |
| Kerry Park Arena |  | 1.7\% | 3, $4.4 .1 \%$ |
| Shawnigan Lake |  | \% 0.9\% | \% $2.9 \%$ |

*Frank Jameson Community Centre rental group information was from a small data base which influences the percentage of out-of-district uses by a smallinmber of people. However, when the proportionate use weighting is applied in Figure Two, it mitigates the apparently large number.
**Fuller Lake Arena rental information is based uponthe Cowichair Valley Minor Hockey Association which is deemed by the operator to bedepresentative of all Fuller Lake Arena Uses.

| Facility/Mode ofdyse | Drop-In | Program | Rental | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Eowichan lake Sports A Ama |  | 3.9\% | 1.5\% | 5.4\% |
| Cowichan Lakeother Spaces |  | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Wowichan Sportsplex | 0.3\% |  | 2.0\% | 2.3\% |
|  | 3ywed | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% |
| FJCE community Gentre Spaces |  | 6.8\% | 3.1\% | 9.9\% |
| FJCC Pool. | 4.1\% | 4.7\% | 0.7\% | 9.5\% |
| Fuller Lake Arena ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56swavad | 0.3\% | 3.6\% | 3.9\% |
| ISC Arena "k wr |  | 0.1\% | 3.3\% | 3.4\% |
| ISC Community Spaces | 1 | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% |
| Kerry Park Other Spaces |  | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Kerry Park Arena |  | 0.2\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% |
| Shawnigan Lake |  | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |

The use of facilities by non residents obviously varied a great deal by facility and by mode of use. The consultants then took those non resident uses out of the usage databases along with the uses with unknown addresses including post office boxes only and used only local CVRD residents to
determine where in the Regional District each use came from．The remainder of this report deals only with resident uses of the 13 facilities．

## Analysis of Pool Use

The use of the two aquatic venues was calculated and analyzed as follows in the next two subsections． All three categories of use where used to derive usage in each case．

## Cowichan Aquatic Centre

The Cowichan Aquatic Centre is located in the Municipality of North Cowichan and is funded largely by property tax subsidy and revenues generated by the facility includihg public admissions．The following data and discussion identify current proportional usage．

Usage for the Cowichan Aquatic Centre is summarized in the next three figures．The raw data （representing uses）is used to start the analysis is summarized in Figure Three．The first row represents the actual number of drop in swims recorded by the survey teamis in the sample survey conducted in March 2011．The second row represents the number of uses a resident of each jurisdiction made in the program category for afprogram based at Cowichan Aquatic Centre．The third row represents the number of members of all groups that rented space at Cowichan Aquatic Centre that reside in each of the jurisdictions．

Figure Three
Summary of Raw Usage Data at Cowichan Aguatic Centre

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey | 2 | 11. | 24 | \％ 2 | 41 | 33 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 32 | 10 | 288 | 465 |
| Program <br> Registration | $232$ | 187 | $64$ | $391$ | $1750$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \hline 414 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | 146 | 77 | 183 | 70 | 56 | 89 | 10379 | 14040 |
| Group Rental | 3 | \％ | 6 | ＂考 | 3 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 47 | 82 |

In order to use the raw datain Figure Three，it is first turned into percentages．That is done in Figure
Four．
Figure Four
Raw Usage Data for Cowichan Aquatic Centre in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 長 } \\ & \text { 荷 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey | 0．4\％ | 2．4\％ | 5．2\％ | 2．6\％ | 8．8\％ | 7．1\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．9\％ | 1．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 6．9\％ | 2．2\％ | 61．9\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Program Registration | 1．7\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 2．8\％ | 12．5\％ | 2．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 73．9\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 3．7\％ | 11．0\％ | 7．3\％ | 8．5\％ | 3．7\％ | 6．1\％ | 1．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 57．3\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents only a sample of uses，the second row represents all program registration uses，and the third row represents only a percentage of members，not uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility， they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．

In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .5 to indicate that public drop－in uses make up $50 \%$ of total facility uses．The second row percentages are multiplied by .4 to indicate that programs represent another $40 \%$ of total facility uses．And，the third row percentages are multiplied by ． 1 to represent the fact that group rentals constitute only $10 \%$ of all annual facility uses．The resultant proportions represent the correct＂weight＂ofeach row，and therefore，can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Five shows that final analysis．Only Figure Five can be used as a basisfor deterimining the residency of uses of this facility．

Figure Five


Proportion of All Cowichan Aquatic Centre Uses from Each Jurisdiction

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & ~ \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 总 <br> 号 <br> 总 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey | 0．2\％ | 1．2\％ | 206\％ | ， $1.3 \%$ | 4．4\％ | 3\％\％ | 0．3\％ | 0：4\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 3．4\％ | 1．1\％ | 31．0\％ | 50．0\％ |
| Program Registration | 0．7\％ | $05 \%$ | 0．2\％ | Kik | 5．0\％ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0．4\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．3\％ | 29．6\％ | 40．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 0．4\％ | 1．1\％ | 07\％ | 09\％ | 10．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．7\％ | 10．0\％ |
| Totals | 12\％\％ | 2．8\％ | 3．5\％ | 3．3\％ | 9：8\％ | W 6 \％$\%$ | 0．5\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．3\％ | 3．6\％ | 1．3\％ | 66．3\％ | 100．0\％ |

## Frantatameson Pool

The Frank Jameson Pool ray data（representing uses）is used to start the analysis and is summarized in Figure Six．Mie first row represents the actual number of drop in swims recorded by the survey teams in the samplesurvey conducted in March and April of 2011．The second row represents the number of times a resident of each jurisdiction registered for a program based at the Frank Jameson pool，not the number of program uses．The third row represents the number of members of all groups that rented space at the Frank Jameson Pool and their residential jurisdictions．

Figure Six
Summary of Raw Usage Data at Frank Jameson Pool

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | North Cowichan | ？ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 47 | 46 |  |  | 328 | 51 | 475 |
| Program Registration | 9 | 73 | 9 | 223 | 34 | 117 | 33 |  | 478 | $672$ |  | 165 | 5703 | 915 | 8431 |
| Group Rental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 22 | 2 | 28 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Six，it is first turned into percentages．That is done in Figure Seven．

Figure Seven
Summary of Raw Usage Data for Frank Jameson Pookin Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  | Electoral Area B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 葸 会 苟 |  | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey | 0．0\％ | ， $0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 9．9\％ | 9．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 69．1\％ | 10．7\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Program <br> Registration | 0．1\％ | $0.9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2,6 \%$ | 04\％ | 1．4\％ | ${ }_{6}^{w_{0}}$ | 0．0\％ | 5．7\％ | 8．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．0\％ | 67．6\％ | 10．9\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 0\％\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％\％ | 0\％\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 3．6\％ | 10．7\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 78．6\％ | 7．1\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages arenot usable as the first row represents only a sample of uses，the second row represents program registrations rather than uses，and the third row represents only a percentage of members．not uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility， they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．

In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .5 to indicate that public uses make up $50 \%$ of total facility uses．The second row percentages are multiplied by .4 to indicate that programs represent another $40 \%$ of total facility uses．And，the third row percentages are multiplied by .1 to represent the fact that group rentals constitute only $10 \%$ of all annual facility uses． The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Eight shows that final analysis．Only Figure Eight can be used as a basis for determining residency of uses．

Figure Eight
Proportion of Frank Jameson Pool Uses from Each Jurisdiction

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W゙ず |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Survey | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 4．9\％ | 4．8\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 34．5\％ | 5．4\％ | 50．0\％ |
| Program Registration | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | I．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．3\％ | $3.2 \%$ | 0．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 27．1\％ | 4．3\％ | 40．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 04\％ | 1．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 7．9\％ | 0．7\％ | 10．0\％ |
| Total | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．1\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 7．6\％ | $9 \times 1 \%$ | 0．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 69．4\％ | 10．4\％ | 100．0\％ |

## Analysis of Arena Use

There are four arenas in the study area．The vast majoity of dluses in these arenas are attributed to group rentals．Since the small number of programmed uses was relatively easy to collect，it is also added to the analysis．However，for the relatively few drop inuses，it was not cost effective to survey them to determine the area of residency for these users．

There are three minor hockey associations which use the four arenas，two of which use multiple arenas，Lake Cowichan Sports Arena and one other．Due to the high usage by minor hockey these associations were identified sep arately from other arena rental groups in the residency use calculation． The associations wereIncludedwin the $90 \%$ use of the arena by renter groups．The residency of the minor hockey asspethans is presented in each figure showing the raw data of each arena below．

## Cowichan Lale Sports Arena

Cowictian Lake Sports Arena has lrree minor hockey associations using the arena in addition to other grouprentals．The Cowichan Lake Sports Arena summary of raw renter group usage data is summariked in Figure Nine

Figure Nine Cowichan Lake Sports Arena Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { Z } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Fin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Uses |  | 24 |  |  | 30 |  | 12 |  |  | 6 | 11 | 41 |  | 49 | 173 |
| Cowichan Valley Minor Hockey Rentals | 77 | 274 | 110 | 50 | 175 | 176 | 7 | 12． |  |  | 3 | 30 | 442 | 1598 | 3104 |
| KP Minor Hockey Assoc．Rentals | 69 | 128 | 40 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 266 |
| LCD Minor Hockey Assoc．Rentals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $13$ | 80 | $\mu$ | 5 | 123 |
| Rentals by Other Groups | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | ， |  |  |  |  | 10 | $2$ | $5$ | 3 | 24 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Nine，it is first turnedinto percentages．That is done in Figure Ten．

Figure Tel
Cowichan Lake Sports Arena Minor Hockey Raw Usage Data Adjusted to Percentage of Use


But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents only a sample of uses and rows two， three，four and five represent the number of members of all groups that rented ice that reside in each of the jurisdictions．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility， they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．Row one is multiplied by .1 representing $10 \%$ of the arena use．Rows two，three，four and five are multiplied first by .9 representing $90 \%$ of arena use．Then multiplied by percentage of hours of use per renter group；row two by .305 ，row three by .1127 ，row four by .338 and row five by
.249. The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency. Figure Eleven shows that final analysis. Only Figure Eleven can be used as a basis for determining residency of uses.

Figure Eleven
Proportion of Cowichan Lake Sports Arena Uses from Each Jurisdiction

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G } \\ & \text { O. } \\ & \text { By } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | त |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | $06$ | 0.9.9\% | 0.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 10.0\% |
| Group Rental Lake Cowichan Minor Hockey | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 60 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $29 \%$ | 20.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 27.5\% |
| Group Rental Cowichan Valley | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0,2\% | 0.6\% | $0.60$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | $\begin{array}{r}  \\ 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1.4\% | 5.2\% | 10.1\% |
| Group Rental Kerry Park Minor Hockey | 7.9\% | 14.6\% | 4.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0.0 \% \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $00 \%$ | 0.0\% | $\begin{array}{r} \hat{3} 8 \\ 80 \% \\ 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $\begin{gathered} \\ 0.0{ }^{\circ},{ }^{2}, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & 6 \\ & 0.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.0\% | 30.4\% |
| Group Rental Other | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | $0.0 \%$ | $10000$ | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 9.3\% | 1,9\% | 4.7\% | 2.8\% | 22.4\% |
| Total Use | 9.1\% | 16,9\% | $4.9 \%$ | 42\% | 2.4\% | 1.7\% | 3.8\% | $10.0 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 0.5\% | $12.9 \%$ | 24.4\% | 6.2\% | 12.0\% | 100.4\%* |

* Rounding error results in a total not exactly equal to $100 \%$


## Fuller Lake Arena

The Fuller Lake Arena group rental informationsubmitted was for the Cowichan Valley Minor Hockey Association (CVMHA) Only. Although other group renters consist of almost $50 \%$ of the arena use, the facility operator has indicated that due to the large and diverse membership of the CVMHA that its membership coild be used to represent all group renters at Fuller Lake arena. The raw usage data for the Fuller Lake Arena is represented in Figure Twelve


In order to use the raw data in Figure Twelve, it is first turned into percentages. That is done in Figure Thirteen.

Figure Thirteen
Fuller Lake Arena Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 苟 } \\ \text { E. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 旁 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 哥 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 1．7\％ | 5．1\％ | 6．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．6\％ | 5．2\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 10．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 1．7\％ | 22．6\％ | 44．8\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 2．5\％ | 8．8\％ | 3．5\％ | 1．6\％ | 5．6\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．4\％ | 3．1\％ | ，7\％ | 0．1\％ | 1．0\％ | 14．2\％ | 51．5\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represennts program registrations rather than uses，and the second row represents only a percentage of menimbers rather than uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility，they are nultiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．

In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are myltiplied by .1 to indicate that programs account for only $10 \%$ of total facility uses．The second row is multiplied by .9 to indicate that represent the remaining $90 \%$ of total facility uses．The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived fromeach of the areas ofresidency．Figure Fourteen shows that final analysis．Only Figure Fourteen cande used as a basis for determining the residency of users．

Figure Fourteen


## Kerry Park Arena

The Kerry Park Arena is used by only one minor hockey association．So，the analysis of its use is reasonably straightforward．The raw data summarizing the uses are included in Figure Fifteen．

Figure Fifteen
Kerry Park Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 帚 } \\ & \text { Z } \\ & \text { 葡 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㚜 } \\ & .0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 224 | 633 | 199 | 137 | 1. | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 17 | 1219 |
| Group Rental KP Minor Hockey | 69 | 128 | 40 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 266 |
| Group Rental Non Minor Hockey | 44 | 87 | 39 | 18 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 29 | 228 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Fifteen，it is first fumed into percentages．That is done in Figure Sixteen．

Figure Sixteen．
Kerry Park Arena Raw Usage Datain Percentage Terms


But the raw percentages arenot usable as the first row represents program visits，but the second and third row represents only ajpercentage of members not uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate tototal uses of the facility（which is total use minus drop in use），they are multiplied by the propertion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .1 to indicate that public program uses make up $10 \%$ of facility uses．The second and third row are multiplied first by .9 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $90 \%$ of facility uses and then by the proportion of rental use that group has in this case being $55.63 \%$ and $44.37 \%$ respectively．The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Seventeen shows that final analysis．Only Figure Seventeen can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses．

Figure Seventeen
Proportion of All Kerry Park Arena Uses from All Jurisdictions

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Electoral Area H |  |  | 兵 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䂞 } \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 1．8\％ | 5．2\％ | 1．6\％ | 1．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 6．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 10．0\％ |
| Group Rental KP Minor Hockey | 13．0\％ | 24．1\％ | 7．5\％ | 5．1\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | $0.6$ |  | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．0\％ | 50．1\％ |
| Group Rental Other | 7．7\％ | 15．2\％ | 6．8\％ | 3．2\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．0\％ | $0.8$ | $\left[\begin{array}{r}  \\ 0.0 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．1\％ | 39，9\％ |
| Total | 22．5\％ | 44．5\％ | 16．0\％ | 9．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．2\％ | 5．2\％ | 100\％ |

## Island Savings Centre



Use of the ice surface at the Island Savings Centre is also quite straightforward．The Raw Usage Data is summarized in Figure Eighteen．

Figure Eighteen
Island Savings Centre Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䂞 } \\ & \text { 足 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & Z \end{aligned}$ | ？ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progian Régistration | 36 | $16$ | 48 | $33$ | 160 | 261 | 9 | 20 | 5 |  |  | 40 | 8 | 818 | 1554 |
| Group Rental Minor Hocké | 77 | $274$ | 110 | 50 | 175 | 176 | 7 | 12 | 97 | 53 | 3 | 30 | 442 | 1598 | 3104 |
| Group Rental Non Minor Hockey | $11$ | $16$ | 22 | 19 | 103 | 68 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 431 | 720 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Eighteen，it is first turned into percentages．That is done in Figure Nineteen．

Figure Nineteen
Island Savings Centre Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 采 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 悉 |  | ज़゙⿹\zh26灬 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 2．3\％ | 7．5\％ | 3．1\％ | 2．1\％ | 10．3\％ | 16．8\％ | 0．6\％ | 1．3\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 2．6\％ | 0．5\％ | 52．6\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental Minor Hockey | 2．5\％ | 8．8\％ | 3．5\％ | 1．6\％ | 5．6\％ | 5．7\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．4\％ | 3．1\％ |  | $0.1 \%$ | 1．0\％ | 14．2\％ | 51．5\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental Non Minor Hockey | 1．5\％ | 2．1\％ | 3．1\％ | 2．6\％ | 14．3\％ | 9．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 0：3\％ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 10 \% \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $03 \%$ | 3．1\％ | 1．5\％ | 59．9\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the firstrow represents program visits，butt the second and third row represents only a percentage of members not uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility（which is totaluse minus drop in use），they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of usemakes up of the total annual facility uses．In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first roware multiplied by .1 to indicate that program uses make up $10 \%$ of facility uses．The second and third tow are multiplied first by .9 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $90 \%$ of facility uses and then by the proportion of rental use that group has in this case being $46.27 \%$ and $53 \% 3 \%$ osespectively．The resultant proportions can then be addedto equal $100 \%$ of fuses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Twenty shows that final analysis．Only Figure Twenty can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses

Figure Twénty
Proportion of All İsland Savings Eentre Arena Uses from All Jurisdictions


## Analysis of Sport Field Use

The Cowichan Sportsplex is operated by a not for profit society with the majority of the fields allocated to groups. There is non-scheduled access to the running track, fields, fitness circuit and amenities when not rented and therefore a drop-in survey was conducted for this facility. The drop in use is affected by both special events and the weather and therefore drop-in use varies significantly from day to day. In addition fields are closed during periods of inclement weather including frost, snow, or significant rain resulting in either unsafe conditions or damage to the fields. The vast majority of use at the Cowichan Sportsplex is by group rentals, estimated at $90 \%$ of overall use with $10 \%$ being drop-in use. Figure Twenty-One presents the raw user data drom the survey and the membership list provided by the rental groups.

Figure Twenty-One


In order to use the raw data in Figure Twenty-One it is first turned into percentages. That is done in Figure Twenty-Two.


But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents a random sample of drop in uses, and the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses. To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility, they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses. In this case, the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .1 to indicate that public drop-in uses make up $10 \%$ of
facility uses．The second row percentages are multiplied by .9 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $90 \%$ of facility uses．The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Twenty－Three shows that final analysis．Only Figure Twenty－Three can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses．

Figure Twenty－Three
Proportion of All Sportsplex Uses from All Jurisdictions


## Analysis of Use of the Cowichan Theatre

The vast majority of use for the Cowichan Theatrefs through programs（i．e．ticket sales）of which the theatre has a record of the g ddresses and number of times participants attended the theatre．This data is accurate and reliable．The rentaluse data is limited to one group with several uses．While this appears to bias the datata the propotionate usage factor of $95 \%$ for programs and $5 \%$ for rental groups properly positions the small rentadata set and the consultants are confident that the proportionate use represented by Figure Twenty fout se eqresentative of the user residency profile of CVRD users．As noted earlifi，as giligicant poing of Theatrease comes from residents outside the CVRD．

Figure Twenty－Four presents the raw user data from the program and the membership lists．
Figure Twenty－Four Cowichan Theatre Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 第 } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { Z } \\ & \text { 葡 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 哭 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 802 | 1105 | 1499 | 966 | 1422 | 1087 | 110 | 147 | 248 | 95 | 59 | 528 | 400 | 8980 | 17448 |
| Group Rental | 1 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 80 | 120 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Twenty-Three it is first turned into percentages. That is done in Figure Twenty-Five.

Figure Twenty-Five
Cowichan Theatre Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 플 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 틍 } \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 4.6\% | 6.3\% | 8.6\% | 5.5\% | 8.1\% | 6.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 14\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 51.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Group Renta | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 | $5.0 \%$ |  | 8.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 66.7\% |  |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents progran wisits, but the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses. To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility, they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses. In this cease, the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .95 to indicate that program uses make lip $95 \%$ of facility uses. The second row percentages are multiplied by .05 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $5 \%$ of facility uses. The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency. Figure Twenty Six shows that Thill analysis. Only Figure TwentySix can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses.

Figure Tweility-Six
Proportion of All Cowichan Theatre from all Jurisdictions


## Analysis of Use of Community Centres

The community centres consist of multiuse spaces and curling rinks which are primarily used for programs and available for some rental activities. Each community centre has a different profile and tends to serve the immediate area for programs and a wider audience for rental activities.

## Cowichan Lake Sports Arena (Non-Ice Arena Use)

The Cowichan Lake Recreation Centre (i.e. all non-arena spaces within the Cowichan Lake Sports Centre) includes multiuse space and a curling rink. The curling rental groups have high use of the curling rink. The program use of the centre has a broader audience and fewer uses. The proportionate use, $80 \%$ group rentals and $20 \%$ program, allocated to this facility is different than the other community centres due to the curling rink. The consultants are confident this is representative of the use. Figure Twenty-Seven summarizes all raw uses for which data was available.

Figure Twenty-Seven
Cowichan Lake Sports Arena Facility Raw Usage Data


In order to use the raw data in Figure Twenty-Seven it is first tuited into percentages. That is done in Figure Twenty-Eight.

Figure Twenty-Eight


But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents program visits, but the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses. To properly determine how these percentages relate to total annual uses of the facility, they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses. In this case, the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .20 to indicate that program uses make up $20 \%$ of facility uses. The second row percentages are multiplied by .80 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $80 \%$ of annual facility uses. The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency. Figure Twenty-Nine shows that final analysis. Only Figure Twenty-Nine can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses.

Figure Twenty-Nine
Proportion of all Cowichan Lake Sports Arena Facility Usage from all Jurisdictions

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4,6\% | 13.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 20.0\% |
| Group Rental | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | $100 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | 50.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 80.0\% |
| Total | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 14.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.0\% | 64.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 100.0\% |

## Frank Jameson Community Centre

The group rental data gathered for the Frank Jameson Commûnity Centre is for one group with most of the participants living out of the CVRD resulting 1 minimal data. Considering the usage of the rental group and the programs the proportionate use alloeation is $95 \%$ program and $5 \%$ rental group. Figure Thirty presents the raw user data from the program, and the membership lists.

## Higurethirty

Frank Jameson Cominunity Eentre Raw Usage Data


In order to use the raw data Figure Thirty it is first turned into percentages. That is done in Figure Thirty-One.

Figure Thirty－One
Frank Jameson Community Centre Raw Usage Date in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 高 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program <br> Registration | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 8．3\％ | 95\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 72．7\％ | 8．6\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 100．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents pregram visits，but the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses．To propety determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility，they are multiplied by fhe proportion of ase that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .95 to indicate that program uses make $95 \%$ of facility ises．${ }^{2}$ The second row percentages are multiplied by .05 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $5 \%$ of facility uses．The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses＇that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Thirty－Two shows that final analysis．Only Figure Thirty－ $T w o$ can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses．

Figure ThingyTwo
Proportion of All Frank Jameson Community Gentre Use in All Jurisdictions

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 哥 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> y |  |  | 長 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ptogram Registration | 0．1\％ | $10.0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | $046$ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 7．9\％ | 9．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 69．1\％ | 8．1\％ | 95．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 0．0\％ | $0.0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 5．0\％ |
| Total＂䜌交 | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 7．9\％ | 9．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 74．1\％ | 8．1\％ | 100．0\％ |

## Island Savings Centre Community Space

In this case，the season ticket holders to the Capitals hockey games are included within the ISC rental group data．The Island Savings Centre rents space to groups including the Cowichan Valley season ticket holders．These groups use the facility frequently resulting in a greater proportion of the use by rental groups than by program use．Figure Thirty－Three presents the raw user data for the Island Saving Centre Community Space from the program and the membership lists．

Figure Thirty-Three Island Saving Centre Community Space Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 257 | 222 | 229 | 461 | 1086 | 591 | 17 | 34 | 57 | 39. | 29 | 154 | 228 | 4679 | 8083 |
| Group Rental | 24 | 30 | 40 | 52 | 174 | 85 |  | 9 | 9 |  |  | 25 | 13 | 653 | 1133 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Thirty-Three it is first turned into percentages. That is done in Figure Thirty-Four.

Figure Thirty Four
Island Saving Centre Community Space Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 3.2\% | $2.8 \%$ | 2.8\% | $5.7 \%$ | 13.4\% | 7.3\% | $5$ | 0,4\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 57.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Group Rental | 2.1\% | 2.6\% | 3.5\% | 4.6\% | 15,4\% | 7.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 1.1\% | 57.6\% | 100.0\% |

But the rawpereentages are not usable as the first row represents program visits, but the second row represents only a percentage of menbers not uses. To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility, they afe multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makesup of the total ammal facility uses. In this case, the percentage breakdowns for the first row are millitiplied by 20 to indicate that program uses make up $20 \%$ of facility uses. The second row percentagesare multiplied by .80 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $80 \%$ of facility uses. The resultantiproportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency. Figure Thirty-Five shows that final analysis. Only Figure ThirtyFive can be used as basis for determining the residency of uses.

Figure Thirty－Five
Proportion of All Island Savings Centre Community Centre Use in All Jurisdictions

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 島 } \\ & \text { 发 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 志 䓂 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 霍 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 蘅 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program <br> Registration | 0．6\％ | 0．5\％ | 0．6\％ | 1．1\％ | 2．7\％ | 1．5\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．1\％ | 01\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 11．6\％ | 20．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 1．7\％ | 2．1\％ | 2．8\％ | 3．7\％ | 12．3\％ | 6．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．6\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．6\％ | 1．8\％ | 0．9\％ | 46．1\％ | 80．0\％ |
| Total | 2．3\％ | 2．7\％ | 3．4\％ | 4．8\％ | 15．0\％ | 7．5\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 0．8\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．7\％ | 2．1\％ | 1．5\％ | 57．7\％ | 100．0\％ |

## Kerry Park Community Centre

The Kerry Park Community Centre includes a curling rink and multipurpose space．Figure
Thirty－Six presents the Kerry Park Community Centre taw user data from the program and the membership lists．

Figure Thirty－Six
Kerry Park Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡN } \\ & .0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { y } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | $x^{1 / 2} 67$ | 2090 | 1035. | － 325 | $48$ | $6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 5313 |
| Group Reintal | 60 | －${ }^{2}$ 䜌3 | 79 | ＊． 13 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 26 | 270 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Thirty－Six it is first turned into percentages．That is done in
Figure Thity－Seven．
Figure Thirty－Seven
Kerry Park Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 플 总 号 |  | ？ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 33\％ | 39\％ | 19\％ | 6．1\％ | 0．9\％ | 0．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．8\％ | 100．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 22\％ | 27\％ | 29\％ | 4．8\％ | 3．3\％ | 3．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．4\％ | 0．4\％ | 9．6\％ | 100．0\％ |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represents program visits，but the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses．To properly determine how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility，they are multiplied by the proportion of use that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses．In this case，the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .34 to indicate that program uses make up $34 \%$ of facility uses．The second row percentages are multiplied by .64 to indicate that group rentals represent the remaining $64 \%$ of facility uses．The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency．Figure Thirty－Eight shows that final analysis．Only Figure Thirty－ Eight can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses．

## Figure Thirty－Eight Proportion of all Kerry Park Usage in all Jurisdictions

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 掝 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 11．3\％ | 13．4\％ | 6．6\％ | 2．1\％ | 0．3\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | $0.0 \%$ | 0．0\％ | 0．3\％ | 34．0\％ |
| Group Rental | 14．7\％ | 17．8\％ | 19．3\％ | 3．2\％ | 2．2\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0\％\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．2\％ | 6．4\％ | 66．0\％ |
| Total | 26．0\％ | 31．2\％ | 25．9\％ | 5．3\％ | 215\％ | 2．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0：0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．0\％ | 0．2\％ | 0．2\％ | 6．6\％ | 100．0\％ |

## Shawnigan Lake Community Centre

Figure Thirty－Nine presents the Shawnigan Lakeeommunity）Centre raw user data from the program and the membership lists／（a）

Figure Thirty－Nine
Shawnigan Lake Community Gentre Raw Usage Data

| Category of Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 픈 思 劳 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅢㅇ } \\ & \text { 发 } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 4 } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | $1385$ | $3658$ | 1181 | 610 | 7 | 158 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5 | 193 | 7205 |
| Group Rental | 5 | $100$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 118 |

In order to use the raw data in Figure Thirty－Nine it is first turned into percentages．That is done in Figure Forty．

## Figure Forty <br> Shawnigan Lake Community Centre Raw Usage Data in Percentage Terms

| Category of Use |  | g eวry [eioloati |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ت |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Registration | 19.2\% | 50.8\% | 16.4\% | 8.5\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Group Rental | 4.2\% | 84.7\% | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |

But the raw percentages are not usable as the first row represevits pregram visits, but the second row represents only a percentage of members not uses. To properly determphe how these percentages relate to total uses of the facility, they are multiplied bythep proportion ofese that each category of use makes up of the total annual facility uses. In thisease, the percentage breakdowns for the first row are multiplied by .90 to indicate that programases make up $90 \%$ of facility, oses. The second row percentages are multiplied by .10 to indicate that group rentals represent the emaining $10 \%$ of facility uses. The resultant proportions can then be added to equal $100 \%$ of uses that are derived from each of the areas of residency. Figure Forty-One shows that final analysis. Only Figure Forty One can be used as a basis for determining the residency of uses.

Figure Forty One
Proportion of all Shawnigan Lake Usage in all Jurisdictions


Based on the analysis above, the consultants are able to draw a number of conclusions.

1. The methodology used for this project is sufficiently valid and reliable to be used to apportion net use of aquatic centres, arenas, community centres, theatre and sportfields within the CVRD. While no data is perfect, the consultants assert that the information available and its analysis renders results which are more reliable and valid than industry standard levels of confidence. Industry standard level of confidence in survey data is plus or minus $5 \%$ nineteen times out of twenty. For this study, the combination of data sources with different
levels of reliability are complicated to combine into a cohesive confidence level. However, the overall result is almost certainly within $5 \%$ nineteen times out of twenty.
2. This means that if the methodology were repeated consistently, use by area of residency would have to shift by more than $5 \%$ for it to be reliably picked up (nineteen times out of twenty) by the process.
3. However, to put that into perspective, if an Electoral Area currently registers $6 \%$ of use of a specific recreation facility in one of the figures in this report, use by that Electoral Area would have to change by $+/-5 \%$ overall (from $1 \%$ to $11 \%$ ) for the change to be relied on as statistically significant. In other words, in this example, use from one Electoral Area might have to increase by $83 \%$ from $6 \%$ to $11 \%$ for the increase to be considered to be statistically significant. This is always the problem with small percentages in these situations. Even quite accurate data doesn't easily pick up changes in very small percentages.
4. The methodology used for this project could be usedfo analyze alternative funding models for financing major recreation facilities within the CVRD.

## Appendix A - Details of Pool Use Survey






